



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 11-20688  
Summary Calendar

David J. Bradley, Clerk  
United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit  
ENTERED  
05/02/2012

**FILED**

April 3, 2012

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk

D.C. Docket No. 4:10-CV-3045

In the Matter of: DAVID MOORE, doing business as Brushy Creek Kennel,  
Incorporated, doing business as Pinewood Kennel, doing business as Celtic  
Field Sports; LISA THERESE MOORE,

Debtors

-----  
LISA BUSHMAN,

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

DAVID MOORE; LISA THERESE MOORE,

Defendants - Appellants

Appeal from the United States District Court for the  
Southern District of Texas, Houston

Before JONES, Chief Judge, and HAYNES and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

J U D G M E N T

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on  
file.

It is ordered and adjudged that the judgment of the District Court is

affirmed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that appellants pay to appellee the costs on appeal to be taxed by the Clerk of this Court.

ISSUED AS MANDATE:

A True Copy  
Attest

Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

By:  \_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy

New Orleans, Louisiana      APR 24 2012

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit

**FILED**

April 3, 2012

No. 11-20688

Summary Calendar

Lyle W. Cayce

United States Court of Appeals  
Fifth Circuit  
Southern District  
FILE

MAY 01 2012

In the Matter of: DAVID MOORE, doing business  
as Brushy Creek Kennel, Incorporated, doing business  
as Pinewood Kennel, doing business as Celtic Field Sports;  
LISA THERESE MOORE,

David J. Bradley, Clerk

Debtors

LISA BUSHMAN,

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

DAVID MOORE; LISA THERESA MOORE,

Defendants-Appellants

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of Texas  
USDC No. 4:10-CV-3045

Before JONES, Chief Judge, and HAYNES and GRAVES, Circuit Judges.

EDITH H. JONES, Chief Judge:\*

The court has carefully reviewed this appeal through the record of its  
tortuous and lengthy procedural career. We conclude that Appellants'

\* Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not  
be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR.  
R. 47.5.4.

arguments are meritless, as the carefully wrought opinions of the bankruptcy and district courts patiently explain. There is no justification for prolonging this litigation, nor can we fruitfully add to the decisions already rendered.

**AFFIRMED.**